IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

COLE MATNEY and PAUL WATTS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

ORDER

VS.

Case No. 2:20-cv-275-TC-CMR

BARRICK GOLD OF NORTH AMERICA, INC.; BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF BARRICK GOLD OF NORTH AMERICA, INC.; BARRICK U.S. SUBSIDIARIES BENEFITS COMMITTEE; and JOHN DOES 1-30,

D.4

Defendants.

On April 21, 2022, the court issued its Order and Memorandum Decision granting the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. (See ECF No. 61.) Plaintiffs subsequently filed a Rule 59(e) Motion for Reconsideration ("Motion") of that decision. (See ECF No. 63.) Defendants filed their Response in Opposition on June 2, 2022. (See ECF No. 68.) Under the local rules, Plaintiffs had fourteen days to file a reply in further support of their Motion. (See Local Rule DUCivR 7-1(a)(4)(D)(iii).) Plaintiffs have not filed a reply, and the time for doing so has passed.

The court has reviewed Plaintiffs' request. For the reasons set forth in Defendants'

Response in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration (ECF No. 68), the court denies the Motion.

SO ORDERED this 21st day of June, 2022.

BY THE COURT:

Lena Campuel

TENA CAMPBELL U.S. District Court Judge